September 28, 2016

REIT Issuer:<br>Daiwa House REIT Investment Corporation 2-4-8, Nagatacho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo Jiro Kawanishi, Executive Director (TSE Code: 8984)<br>\section*{Asset Manager:}<br>Daiwa House Asset Management Co., Ltd.<br>Koichi Tsuchida, President and CEO Inquiries:<br>Haruto Tsukamoto, Director and CFO<br>TEL. +81-3-3595-1265

## Notice Concerning Completion of Acquisition of Trust Beneficiary Interests in Domestic Real Estate

Daiwa House REIT Investment Corporation ("DHR") hereby announces that it completed acquisition of assets as described below.

## 1. Acquisition details

| Property name | Acquisition price <br> (million yen) | Acquisition date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Naha Shin-Toshin Center Building <br> (Daiwa Roynet Hotel Naha-Omoromachi) | 7,600 |  |
| D Project Hibiki Nada | 2,080 |  |
| D Project Morioka II | 1,280 | September 28, 2016 |
| Castalia Ningyocho III | 2,000 |  |
| Royal Parks Umejima | 2,020 |  |
| Sports Depo and GOLF5 Kokurahigashi IC Store | 2,230 |  |
| Total | 17,210 |  |

For the details of the acquired assets, please refer to the press release "Notice Concerning Acquisition of Trust Beneficial Interests in Domestic Real Estate and Leasing of Assets" dated April 15, 2015. Proceeds from debt financing and cash reserves were used as the acquisition funds which were undetermined at the time of the previous press release. For the details of the debt financing, please refer to the press release "Notice Concerning Debt Financing and Repayment of Loan" dated September 16, 2016. Summary of real estate appraisal as of August 31, 2016 is attached for your information.
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1. Summary of real estate appraisal
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1. Summary of real estate appraisal

| Naha Shin-Toshin Center Building (Daiwa Roynet Hotel Naha-Omoromachi) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprai | sal value | 7,630 million yen |  |
| Apprai |  | DAIWA REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL CO., LTD. |  |
| Appraisal date |  | August 31, 2016 |  |
|  | Item | Content | Basis |
| Value based on income method |  | $7,630$ <br> million yen | Estimate by combining the value calculated by using direct capitalization method and the value calculated by using discounted cash flow method. |
| Value based on direct capitalization method |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7,640 \\ \text { million yen } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Operating revenue | $\begin{aligned} & 1,239 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Effective gross revenue | $\begin{aligned} & 1,285 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Assessed based on the stabilized assumed rental income and common area charges income of the subject property based on leasing case examples and the level of new rent of similar buildings in the market area and the trends thereof, and by taking into consideration such factors as the medium- to long-term competitiveness of the subject property. |
|  | Loss from vacancy | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated using historical vacancy rate of the subject property and standard vacancy rate of comparable property but adjusted for specific characteristics of the property. |
|  | Operating expense | $337$ <br> million yen |  |
|  | Maintenance | 83 million yen | Estimated using historical maintenance fee based on the current contract, by referring to the cost of comparable property and current cost. |
|  | Utility cost | 137 million yen | Estimated by referring to the cost of comparable property and current cost. |
|  | Repair | 18 million yen | Posted based on the average annual expenses of the medium- to long-term repair expenses described in engineering report, and verifying the levels of maintenance and management fees of comparable real estate. |
|  | Property management fee | 10 million yen | PM fee is based on actual figures, and verifying the levels of property management fee of comparable real estate. |
|  | Advertisement and other leasing cost | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated by referring to the replacement cost of comparable property, assuming vacancy rate and current cost. |
|  | Tax | $75$ <br> million yen | Recorded in consideration of burden levels, land price trends, etc. in reference to results for FY 2015. |
|  | Insurance | $2$ <br> million yen | Recorded in the current insurance premium, verifying the premium on comparable property. |
|  | Other cost | $4$ million yen | Recorded maintenance fee and reserve costs of other costs. |
|  | Net operating income (NOI) | 901 million yen |  |
|  | Investment income of lump sum | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Assessed based on assuming investment yield of $2.0 \%$, by conducting a comprehensive assessment from the perspective of both the operational and procurement aspects regarding the actual management condition, etc. of one-time investment gains. |
|  | Capital expenditure | $32$ <br> million yen | Recorded by taking the annual average renewal costs in the engineering report and considering the construction management fees. |
|  | Net cash flow (NCF) | 886 <br> million yen |  |
|  | Net cash flow based on co-ownership interest | 443 million yen | Net cash flow (NCF) multiplied by rate of co-ownership interest (50\%). |
|  | Cap rate | $5.8 \%$ | In consideration of a $50 \%$ co-ownership and other factors such as management flexibility and market deterioration, as well as comparable transactions. |


| Value based on discounted <br> cash flow method | 7,630 <br> million yen |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Discount rate | $5.6 \%$ | Appraised by comparing with cases of similar real estate <br> transactions and adding individuality of real estate to yield <br> of financial assets. |
| Terminal cap rate | $6.0 \%$ | Giving consideration to future uncertainty such as <br> possibility of increased capital expenditure due to aging of <br> the property, change of market trend and loss of liquidity <br> because of passage of time. |
| Proportion of land Value based on cost method 7,690 <br> million yen <br> Proportion of building $45.9 \%$ $54.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Items applied to adjustments in approaches to the <br> value and the determination of the appraisal value | None |  |


| D Project Hibiki Nada |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appraisal value | 2,110 million yen |  |
| Appraiser | The Tanizawa Sogo Appraisal Co., Ltd. |  |
| Appraisal date | August 31, 2016 |  |
| Item | Content | Basis |
| Value based on income method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,110 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Estimate by combining the value calculated by using direct capitalization method and the value calculated by using discounted cash flow method. |
| Value based on direct capitalization method | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,110 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Operating revenue | - | (Note) |
| Effective gross revenue | - |  |
| Loss from vacancy | - |  |
| Operating expense | - |  |
| Maintenance | - |  |
| Utility cost | - |  |
| Repair | - |  |
| Property management fee | - |  |
| Advertisement and other <br> leasing cost | - |  |
| Tax | - |  |
| Insurance | - |  |
| Other cost | - |  |
| Net operating income (NOI) | 130 million yen |  |
| Investment income of lump sum | - | (Note) |
| Capital expenditure | - |  |
| Net cash flow (NCF) | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Cap rate | 6.0\% | Compare the multiple transaction yields in the neighboring areas and the similar areas of the same supply and demand, also, assess by taking into account the change prediction of net cash flow in the future, considering the relationship with the discount rate. |
| Value based on discounted cash flow method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,110 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Discount rate | (from first to fifth year) $5.9 \%$ (from sixth year) $6.1 \%$ | In consideration of a single-tenant impact. Also, a fixedterm lease with no early termination or rent renewal clause, NCF assessed stable. |


| Terminal cap rate | $6.2 \%$ | In consideration of the prediction uncertainty, estimate on <br> the basis of capitalization rate. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value based on cost method | 2,060 <br> million yen |  |
| Proportion of land | $31.4 \%$ |  |
| Proportion of building | $68.6 \%$ |  |
| Items applied to adjustments in approaches to the <br> value and the determination of the appraisal value | None |  |

(Note) Consent has not been obtained from the lessee for disclosure of certain figures in relation to the direct capitalization method, including information that could be used to deduce these amounts. Disclosure of this information could damage the relationship with the lessee, potentially resulting in claims being brought against DHR for breach of confidentiality and/or termination and endangering the longterm contractual relationship. Therefore, DHR believes that disclosure of this information could be detrimental to unitholder value, and, except in certain instances where it was deemed that no harm would result from disclosure, these items are not disclosed.
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| Proportion of building | $58.8 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Items applied to adjustments in approaches to the <br> value and the determination of the appraisal value | None |  |

(Note) Consent has not been obtained from the lessee for disclosure of certain figures in relation to the direct capitalization method, including information that could be used to deduce these amounts. Disclosure of this information could damage the relationship with the lessee, potentially resulting in claims being brought against DHR for breach of confidentiality and/or termination and endangering the longterm contractual relationship. Therefore, DHR believes that disclosure of this information could be detrimental to unitholder value, and, except in certain instances where it was deemed that no harm would result from disclosure, these items are not disclosed.

| Castalia Ningyocho III |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appraisal value | 2,180 million yen |  |
| Appraiser | Japan Real Estate Institute |  |
| Appraisal date | August 31, 2016 |  |
| Item | Content | Basis |
| Value based on income method | $2,180$ <br> million yen | Calculated by associating value based on the direct capitalization method and that based on the discounted cash flow method, both of which are considered to have the same level of canonicity. |
| Value based on direct capitalization method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,200 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Operating revenue | 130 million yen |  |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Potential gross } \\ \text { revenue }\end{array}$ | $139$ <br> million yen | Assessed the unit value level of rents, etc. that can be received stably over a medium to long term based on the average rent calculated on current lease agreements, level of rents in case when the subject property is newly leased, and qualities, etc. of the current lessees; and recorded the rental revenues based on the aforementioned and common area charges. |
| Loss from vacancies | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | For each use, assumed an occupancy ratio level that will remain stably passable over the medium to long term from the occupancy status of and the supply and demand trends of competing or alternative, etc. real estates with similar features in a comparable area within the same sphere of supply and demand, and past records and future prospects of the occupancy ratio of the subject property; and recorded the losses from vacancies, etc. as calculated based on the occupancy ratio level thus assumed. Revenue amounts based on medium- to long-term estimate amounts are assumed for other revenues and bike parking revenues and thus losses from vacancies, etc. are not recorded. |
| Operating expense | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Maintenance | $6$ <br> million yen | Recorded in consideration of the individuality of the subject property, by reference to the actual management fees of the past years and building management fees of similar properties. |
| Utility cost | 1 million yen | Recorded by taking into account utilities of similar real estate and the individualities of the subject property with reference made to prior actual amounts in assuming utilities for common areas. |
| Repair | $2$ <br> million yen | Recorded restitution fees based on the level of restitution fees per room that will ordinarily arise in correlation with tenant replacement, the proportion of the lessor's burden, average expense burden timing, degree of restitution and other factors. Also recorded by taking into account the actual results of the previous fiscal year, the level of expenses for similar properties, the annual average amounts of repairs in the relevant engineering report and other factors in appraising repairs. |
| Property management fee | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Based on the fee rates, etc. under current terms and conditions, considering the rate of fee rates for similar real estates and the individualities of the subject property. |



| Royal Parks Umejima |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appraisal value | 2,150 million yen |
| Real estate appraiser | Morii Appraisal \& Investment Consulting, Inc. |


| Appraisal date | August 31, 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Content | Basis |
| Value indicated by the income approach <br> Value indicated by the income approach based on the direct capitalization method (Inwood method of capitalization over a definite term) | $\begin{aligned} & 2,150 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Value calculated using the discounted cash flow method, referring to the direct capitalization method (the Inwood method). |
|  | $2,190$ <br> million yen |  |
| Operating revenue <br> $\begin{array}{l}\text { Effective gross } \\ \text { revenue }\end{array}$ <br> Loss from vacancy | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 208 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $211$ <br> million yen | Based on mid to long term stabilized rents considering current leasing conditions. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Residence: Posted losses from vacancies after coming up with an assessment on steady occupancy rates over the medium to long term. <br> Retail and others: Assessed with considerations given to the standard vacancy rate and the individuality of the subject property. |
| Operating expense | $69$ <br> million yen |  |
| Maintenance | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Amount based on a changed contract presented by the buyer and past results, referring to the levels of similar properties. |
| Utilitiy cost | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Assessed considering past results presented by the buyer, referencing the document which appraiser acquired (the levels of similar properties). |
| Repair | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Based on estimates in the engineering report. $30 \%$ of the stabilized amount |
| Restore cost | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Assessed considering past results and the move-out rate presented by the buyer, referring to the levels of similar properties. |
| Property management fee | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Amount based on conclusion that a changed contract presented by the buyer is appropriate, referencing the document which appraiser acquired (the levels of similar properties). |
| Ground rent | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Based on historical data, with consideration of variable rate into account. |
| Advertisement and other leasing cost | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Retail:The amount is posted as the new monthly rental fee. |
| Tax | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Appraised by considering actual amounts and making reference to the annual depreciation. |
| Insurance | $0$ <br> million yen | The amount is posted assuming the presented materials by buyer to be appropriate ( $0.02 \%$ of the restoration costs). |
| Other cost | 0 million yen | Office taxes. |
| Net operating income (NOI) | $\begin{aligned} & 138 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Operating revenue from guarantees, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated by multiplying deposits for full occupancy less a suitable amount for vacancies by $2.0 \%$ yield. |
| Loss on investment of guarantee deposits | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.0\% yield on deposit amount. |
| Capital expenditure | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Based on estimates in the engineering report. 70\% of the stabilized amount. |
| Net cash flow | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Discount rate based on the Inwood method | 5.6\% | Based on a discount rate that reflects income producing period (remaining period of fixed-term land leasehold) and volatility risk and other factors for income and capital investment |
| Value based on the DCF method | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,150 \\ & \text { million yen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Discount rate | 5.4\% | In consideration from standard yield at lowest risk region |


| (during period from 1st <br> year to 10th year)  based on long-term government bonds, adding certain <br> risk factors and others such as investor appetite, REIT <br> and other real estate market trends. <br> Discount rate at resale <br> (from 11th year to <br> expiration of the land <br> leasehold agreement) $5.8 \%$ Assessed based on the Cap rate and taking into account <br> income producing period (remaining period of fixed-term <br> land leasehold) and the uncertainty of net income <br> forecast, aging and liquidity and other risk factors. <br> Value based on cost method 1,800 <br> million yen  <br> Proportion of land $12.5 \%$  <br> Proportion of building $87.5 \%$  <br> Items applied to adjustments in approaches to the <br> value and the determination of the appraisal value None  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Sports Depo and GOLF5 Kokurahigashi IC Store |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appraisal value | 2,280 million yen |  |
| Appraiser | The Tanizawa Sogo Appraisal Co., Ltd. |  |
| Appraisal date | August 31, 2016 |  |
| Item | Content | Basis |
| Value based on income method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,280 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Value based on direct capitalization method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,290 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Effective gross revenue | - | (Note) |
|  | - |  |
| Loss from vacancy | - |  |
| Operating expense | - |  |
| Maintenance | - |  |
| Utility cost | - |  |
| Repair | - |  |
| Property management fee | - |  |
| Advertisement and other leasing cost | - |  |
| Tax | - |  |
| Insurance | - |  |
| Other cost | - |  |
| Net operating income (NOI) | 152 million yen |  |
| Investment income of lump sum | - | (Note) |
| Capital expenditure | - |  |
| Net cash flow (NCF) | 146 <br> million yen |  |
| Cap rate | 6.4\% | Compare the multiple transaction yields in the neighboring areas and the similar areas of the same supply and demand, also, assess by taking into account the change prediction of net cash flow in the future, considering the relationship with the discount rate. |
| Value based on discounted cash flow method | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2,270 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Discount rate | (first year) $6.4 \%$ (from second year) $6.5 \%$ $6.6 \%$ | In consideration of single tenant impact at move-out and added risk premium for downward risk of current rent, as well as the non-cancellation period of first year. |
| Terminal cap rate | 6.6\% | Assessed based on capitalization rate which includes change factor of NCF and principal amount, and taking into consideration future forecast uncertainties. |
| Value based on cost method | $\begin{aligned} & 2,130 \\ & \text { million yen } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Proportion of land | 52.2\% |  |

Items applied to adjustments in approaches to the value and the determination of the appraisal value

## None

(Note) Consent has not been obtained from the lessee for disclosure of certain figures in relation to the direct capitalization method, including information that could be used to deduce these amounts. Disclosure of this information could damage the relationship with the lessee, potentially resulting in claims being brought against DHR for breach of confidentiality and/or termination and endangering the longterm contractual relationship. Therefore, DHR believes that disclosure of this information could be detrimental to the unitholder.


[^0]:    * DHR’s website: http://www.daiwahouse-reit.co.jp/en/

